





Date: April 24, 2018 Place: Novi Sad, Serbia

Knowledge FOr Resilient soCiEty

Basics of Decision Analysis in Engineering

Michael Havbro Faber Aalborg University

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Contents of Presentation

- Context of Engineering Decision Making
- Decisions and preferences
- Uncertainty
- Probability
- Decision Ranking
- Decision analysis in engineering





What are we up against?







Fatigue





What are we up against?



Tornados and strong winds







What are we up against?





Earthquakes





What are we up against?







Rock fall





What are we up against?







Explosions





What are we up against?



Over load



Design error





What are we up against?



Bombs



Airplane impacts

Richardson Lecture:



What are we up against?



Deepwater Horizon April 20, 2010

11 fatalities 17 injured Oil spill > 5 million barrels Health effects? Eco. imp. > 10 billion \$US BP response – 14 billion \$US 22000 lost jobs







What are we up against?



Hurricane Katrina

August 23, 2005

> 1800 fatalities

Eco. imp. > 80 billion \$US





What are we up against?



Fukushima Nuclear Event March 11, 2011

No fatalities ..?

Eco. imp. > 75 billion \$US







What are we up against?



SARS, 2003 Fatalities: < 800 Eco. imp. 2% GDP – 200 billion \$US





What are we up against?



Food borne diseases - USA

Affects 76 million per year Hospitalizations: 325000 per year Fatalities: 5000 pr year





Decisions and Preferences

Attributes of decision outcomes

Decisions aim to achieve an objective

The degree of achievement is measured by attributes

- natural attributes (measurable, e.g. costs and loss of lives)
- constructed attributes (a function of natural attributes e.g. GDP)
- proxy attributes (indicators which measure the perceived degree of fulfilment of an objective)





Decisions and Preferences

Preferences among attributes - utility

The attributes associated with a decision outcome may be translated into a degree of achievement of the objective by means of a utility function

different attributes are brought together on one or several scales

multi attribute decision making implies a weighing of different attributes





Decisions and Preferences

Constraints on decision making

In principle – any society may define what they consider to be acceptable decisions

Typically decisions are constrained – e.g. in terms of maximum acceptable risks to

- persons
- qualities of the environment





Uncertainty

Different types of uncertainties influence decision making

Inherent natural variability – aleatory uncertainty

- result of throwing dices
- variations in material properties
- variations of wind loads
- variations in rain fall

Model uncertainty – epistemic uncertainty

- lack of knowledge (future developments)
- inadequate/imprecise models (simplistic physical modelling)

Statistical uncertainties – epistemic uncertainty

- sparse information/small number of data























Conditional probabilities are of special interest as they provide the basis for utilizing new information in decision making.

The conditional probability of an event E_1 given that event E_2 has occurred is written as:

$$P(E_1|E_2) = \frac{P(E_1 I E_2)}{P(E_2)}$$
 Not defined if $P(E_2) = 0$

The event E_1 is said to be probabilistically independent of the event E_2 if:

$$P(E_1 | E_2) = P(E_1)$$



From
$$P(E_1|E_2) = \frac{P(E_1 \ I \ E_2)}{P(E_2)}$$

it follows that $P(E_1 I E_2) = P(E_2)P(E_1|E_2)$

and when E_1 and E_2 are statistically independent it is

$$P(E_1 I E_2) = P(E_2)P(E_1)$$





Consider the sample space Ω divided up into n mutually exclusive events $E_1, E_2, ..., E_n$



$$P(A) = P(AI \ E_1) + P(AI \ E_2) + \dots + P(AI \ E_n)$$
$$P(A|E_1)P(E_1) + P(A|E_2)P(E_2) + \dots + P(A|E_n)P(E_n) =$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A|E_i)P(E_i)$$





as there is
$$P(A \mid E_i) = P(A \mid E_i) P(E_i) = P(E_i \mid A) P(A)$$





Reverend Thomas







Emperor Qianlong Qing dynasty Reign :1735 - 1796

Daniel Bernoulli 1738 Expected utility hypothesis

von Neumann and Morgenstern 1947 4 Axioms of utility theory: Ranking based on expected value of utility (VNM rational)



ZHONG HE DIAN (Hall of Central Harmony)

First constructed in 1420 during the Ming Dynasty, Zhong He Dian was destroyed and reconstructed se eral times over the centuries. The existing hall was constructed in 1627 during The Ming Dyna ty. In the early Ming Dynasty, this hall was called Hua Gai Dian (Hall of Overwhelming Glory, but was renamed Zhong Ji Dian (Hall of Central Extremity) in 1562 and Zhong He Dian in 16 45 during the Qing Dynasty. This square building has a single pyramid-shaped roof with a gr d plated bronze covering. The floor is paved with high-quality square clay bricks. commonly k own as golden bricks "A throne is placed in the center of the hall and a board beings above the throne with an inscription written by Emperor Qianlong. The insulation reads: "Yun Zhi Jue Zhong,"meaning "The Way of Heaven is profound and mysterious and the way of mankind is difficult. Only if we make a precise and unified plan and follow the doctrine of the mean, can we rule the country well."

This nam sourced as a resting place for the emperer on his may to attend an important ceremony or hold court. Officials kowtowed to the emperor here. The day before the emperor held a sacrificial ceremony he would read the prayer tablet aloud in this hall. Before offering sacrifices at the Altar of the God of Agriculture, the emperor examined ceremonial farm tools here. After the revision of the imperial pedigree, which was revised once every ten years, the emperor read the pedigree out loud and held a grand ceremony at the hall. The words"Zhong He" come from the Book of Rites, meaning"When we handle matters properly and harmoniously

without leaning to either side, all things on earth will flourish."

ADE POSSIBLE BY THE AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY



Risk is a characteristic of an activity relating to all possible events n_E which may follow as a result of the activity

The risk contribution R_{E_i} from the event E_i is defined through the product between the event probability P_{E_i}

and

AALBORG UNIVERSITET

the consequences of the event C_{E_i}

The risk associated with a given activity R_A may then be written as

$$R_{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{E}} R_{E_{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{E}} P_{E_{i}} \cdot C_{E_{i}}$$





Optimal decision maximizes the expected value of utility (benefit) (von Neumann & Morgenstern)

$$B_0^* = \max_a E'[b(a, X)] = \max_a \int b(a, x) f'_X(x, a) dx$$





Posterior decision analysis

By sampling information z using an experiment e we may update the probabilistic description of X

$$f_X''(x,a|\mathbf{z}) = \frac{L(x|\mathbf{z})f_X'(x,a)}{\int L(x|\mathbf{z})f_X'(x,a)}$$

Of course the likelihood of the sample z depends on the experiment e why we write

$$L(x|\mathbf{z}) = L(x|\mathbf{z}, e)$$







Decision

Event

Benefit

 $\max_{a} E'' \big[b(a, X) \big] = \max_{a} \int b(a, x) f''_{X}(x, a \big| \hat{\mathbf{z}}) dx$







The optimal experiment e may be found from

$$B_1^* = \max_e E_{\mathbf{Z}} \left[\max_a \int b(e, a, x) f_X''(x, a | \mathbf{Z}) dx \right]$$







The value of information *Vol* is determined from:

$$VoI = \max_{e} E_{\mathbf{Z}} \left[\max_{a} \int b(e, a, x) f_{X}''(x, a | \mathbf{Z}) dx \right] - \max_{a} \int b(a, x) f_{X}'(x, a) dx$$





Games and Risk

Rules (exogenous)

- Nature

Rules (endogenous)

- Knowledge
- Best practices
- Rules and standards
- Culture
- Ethics

Drivers/Challenges

- Preferences
- Psychology
- Asymmetric information











The different types of decision analysis

- Prior
- Posterior
- Pre-posterior

Illustrated on an example :

Question : What pile length should be applied ? Pile















 \implies Choice of pile a_1 (50ft Pile)





Posterior Analysis

$$P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k | \theta_i] P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_j P[z_k | \theta_j] P'[\theta_j]}$$







AALBORG UNIVERSITET





 $P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k | \theta_i] P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_{i} P[z_k | \theta_j] P'[\theta_j]}$ Ultrasonic tests to determine the depth to bed rock

| True state | $	heta_0$ | $	heta_1$ |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Test result | 40 ft – depth | 50 ft – depth |
| z ₀ - 40 ft indicated | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| z ₁ - 50 ft indicated | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| z ₂ - 45 ft indicated | 0.3 | 0.2 |

Likelihoods of the different indications/test results given the various possible states of nature – ultrasonic test methods $P[z_k|\theta_i]$





Decision Analysis in Engineering Posterior Analysis $P''(\theta_i) = \frac{P[z_k | \theta_i] P'[\theta_i]}{\sum_{i} P[z_k | \theta_j] P'[\theta_j]}$ It is assumed that a test gives a 45 ft indication

$$P''[\theta_0] = P[\theta_0|z_2] \propto P[z_2|\theta_0] P[\theta_0] = 0.3 \ x \ 0.7 = 0.21$$
$$P''[\theta_1] = P[\theta_1|z_2] \propto P[z_2|\theta_1] P[\theta_1] = 0.2 \ x \ 0.3 = 0.06$$
$$P''[\theta_0|z_2] = \frac{0.21}{0.21 + 0.06} = 0.78$$
$$P''[\theta_1|z_2] = \frac{0.06}{0.21 + 0.06} = 0.22$$





Posterior Analysis

Test result indicates 45ft to rock bed







Posterior Analysis



$$E''\left[u|z_2\right] = \min_{j} \{E''\left[u(a_j)|z_2\right]\}$$

 $= \min\{P''[\theta_0] \times 0 + P''[\theta_1] \times 400, P''[\theta_0] \times 100 + P''[\theta_1] \times 0\}$ $= \min\{0.78 \times 0 + 0.22 \times 400, 0.78 \times 100 + 0.22 \times 0\}$

 $= \min\{88, 78\} = 78$

 $\implies \text{Choice of alternative } a_1 (50 \text{ft} Pile)$





Pre-posterior Analysis

$$E[u] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times E''[u|z_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times \min_{j=1,m} \{E''[u(a_j)|z_i]\}$$

$$P'[z_i] = P[z_i|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_i|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1]$$

$$P'[z_0] = P[z_0|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_0|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.6 \times 0.7 + 0.1 \times 0.3 = 0.45$$

$$P'[z_1] = P[z_1|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_1|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.1 \times 0.7 + 0.7 \times 0.3 = 0.28$$

$$P'[z_2] = P[z_2|\theta_0] \times P'[\theta_0] + P[z_2|\theta_1] \times P'[\theta_1] = 0.3 \times 0.7 + 0.2 \times 0.3 = 0.27$$





Pre-posterior Analysis

 $E"[u|z_{0}] = \min_{j} \{E"[u(a_{j})|z_{0}]\}$ **a**₀ **cutting do nothing splicing cutting do nothing** $= \min\{P"[\theta_{0}|z_{0}] \times 0 + P"[\theta_{1}|z_{0}] \times 400, P"[\theta_{0}|z_{0}] \times 100 + P"[\theta_{1}|z_{0}] \times 0\}$ $= \min\{0.93 \times 0 + 0.07 \times 400, 0.93 \times 100 + 0.07 \times 0\}$ $= 0.07 \times 400 + 0.93 \times 0 = 28$





Pre-posterior Analysis

 $E"[u|z_{1}] = \min_{j} \{E"[u(a_{j})|z_{1}]\}$ **a**₀ **a**₁ **do nothing splicing cutting do nothing** $= \min\{P"[\theta_{0}|z_{1}] \times 0 + P"[\theta_{1}|z_{1}] \times 400, P"[\theta_{0}|z_{1}] \times 100 + P"[\theta_{1}|z_{1}] \times 0\}$ $= \min\{0.25 \times 0 + 0.75 \times 400, 0.25 \times 100 + 0.75 \times 0\}$ $= 0.25 \times 100 + 0.75 \times 0 = 25$





Pre-posterior Analysis

The minimum expected costs based on pre-posterior decision analysis – not including costs of experiments

$$E[u] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P'[z_i] \times E''[u|z_i] = 28 \times 0.45 + 25 \times 0.28 + 78 \times 0.27 = 40.00$$

Allowable costs for the experiment

$$E'[u] - E[u] = 70.00 - 40.00 = 30.00$$







AALBORG UNIVERSITET

Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





Thank you for your attention

In@civil.aau.dk mfn@civil.aau.dk

Knowledge FOr Resilient soCiEty